

the words of its philosophical Edenic father that proclaimed, "Surely, you shall not die, but be as God."

- The ideologies and eventual political structures that were built upon these views of human nature, an "inherently sinful human nature" and "the noble savage - perfectibility" model, have both resulted in massive government induced suffering and slaughter. We all lose.

Whether one wants to use the phrases of the Darwinist, and speak of man's evolution to a higher nature; or that of Rousseau, and the returning into the image of the "noble savage"; or that of the perfectibility of human nature; or that of Nietzsche's, the human nature is the will to dominate, and his elevation of the aristocracy of man into the Superman...it's all one and the same at its source for me. It is as Jim H. said to me in his reply, "This is the first time that I have considered propaganda, not as a contrived or intended product, but as a flow, directly from the foundation of belief." It is like the primeval propaganda that flowed forth from its Genesisic voice in Eden that said, "Has God really said you shall not...you shall not die, instead your eyes will be opened to know good and evil, and you will become as God." It seems that Jim H. now hears this voice, for he says, "You have illustrated what I can only refer to as the concepts of Good and Evil, which I have never really accepted." Quite correctly he also pointed out that, "Those that constitute The State, the True Believers that will produce utopia, the salvation of mankind...say to themselves, "We don't need God or Gods, we are Gods.""

Lessons in logic aside, what is it to be at war with human nature, and seek to change it? It is to attack what is in accord with Divine and Natural law, and turn it into discord. This human nature cannot ever be changed, any more than one can change the nature of a peach tree into the nature of a donkey. Nonetheless, the Dukes of Reason will continue to whack at it. That the Reason enthroned by the Age of Rousseau cast off the false religion of the inherent sinfulness of human nature, is a great good. That it in turn cast off all Divine and Natural law, is catastrophic. The proverbial baby with the bath water, but much worse. Reason becomes then immorality, and immorality becomes the liberation of Reason. The deeper the plunge into immorality, the more at liberty the mind of Reason claims to be. When this mind of Rousseauian Reason holds the reigns of power, immorality is then its guiding governmental light. Immoral means will justify immoral ends (even though they delude themselves they are of the highest ethical quality), those which enthroned Reason demand.

It is not by accident that the scripture claims, "Sin is lawlessness." That is, an absence of Divine and Natural Law. To define "sin", it is that which results from the absence of Divine and Natural Law. Do we have enough example of that in the nation, and in the halls of Congress today to make it clear? While I'm at it, let's define death. Scripture again claims, "The day you eat of the tree of the knowledge of good and evil, you shall die." A better translation of that is, "to die, you shall be dying." It bespeaks of a process of ebbing, to a conclusive end. I can most assuredly say that the processes of mortality are demonstrated through the ebbing away of Divine and Natural Law, and the filling of the godless vacuum with the mind of enthroned Reason. This is death.

Scripture also pointed out immediately after Adam and his human nature were created, that "It was good." Not, "It looks kinda good for now. I'll leave it to be perfected by Rousseau, James, Carolyn McCarthy D-NY and Barrack Obama C-Kenya, by means of the GIVE Act and martial virtues producing involuntary servitude."

What then is the answer? Do we seek a political answer, or a spiritual one? I say they are one and the same. Without a spiritual answer concerning human nature, we can have no political one. Is the answer then conscience? This I believe is the most libertarian answer. Will that do the proverbial trick? What then of this "good" human nature of which I trumpet so highly? What of healthy sound reason that does not dismiss Divine and Natural Law? It seems men will more often side with the little gods of enthroned Reason, than they will listen to their consciences, which testify of the Divine Law written in their hearts. Why is that? Before giving my concluding

This document was created with Win2PDF available at <http://www.win2pdf.com>.
The unregistered version of Win2PDF is for evaluation or non-commercial use only.
This page will not be added after purchasing Win2PDF.